

# The power-free subset problem

Stoyan Dimitrov

## 1. The sum-free subset problem

Let  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a_i \neq 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $f(A)$  be the largest integer, such that  $\exists B \subseteq A$ ,  $|B| = f(A)$  and  $x + y \neq z$ , for any  $x, y, z \in B$ .  $B$  will be called *sum-free* subset. A triple of elements  $x, y, z$ , such that  $x + y = z$ , will be called a *sum-triple*. The following result was obtained by Erdős using an ingenious probabilistic argument. In particular, he constructs a sum-free subset probabilistically and shows that its expected size is  $|A|/3$ .

**Theorem 1** (Erdős, [4]).  $\forall A \subset \mathbb{R} : f(A) \geq \frac{1}{3}|A|$ .

In other words, for every set of  $n$  nonzero real numbers, one is always able to select a subset with at least  $\frac{n}{3}$  numbers that is sum-free. Theorem 1 has raised the question whether  $\frac{1}{3}$  is the largest possible constant having this property. More formally, what is the true value of  $\alpha_+ := \inf_{A \subset \mathbb{R}} \frac{f(A)}{|A|}$ ? This question had been an object of research for nearly 50 years.

Theorem 1 gives that  $\alpha_+ \geq \frac{1}{3}$ . Several upper bounds were obtained after that. [6] is a good survey on the previous work related to the problem. In 2013, Eberhard, Green and Manners [3] proved that  $\frac{1}{3}$  is also an upper bound for  $\alpha_+$ . In particular, they showed that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a set  $A$  of  $n$  integers with the following property: for every  $A' \subseteq A$  with at least  $(\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon)n$  elements,  $\exists x, y, z \in A'$ , such that  $x + y = z$ . Therefore, they proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2** (Eberhard et al., [3]).

$$\alpha_+ = \frac{1}{3}.$$

The same question was considered for arbitrary abelian groups instead of  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  and the corresponding optimal constant was found to be  $\frac{2}{7}$  (see [1]). Note also that the product-free problem over  $\mathbb{R}$  is equivalent to the sum-free problem since  $x * y = z$  iff  $\log x + \log y = \log z$ .

## 2. The power-free subset problem

Instead of sum-free sets, let us consider *power-free* sets. A triple of elements  $x, y, z$ , such that  $x^y = z$ , will be called a *power-triple*. Denote the set of real numbers greater than 1 by  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ . We will look only at sets of numbers in  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$  since this is needed to prove Theorem 3. If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}_{>1}$  is a set of  $n$  numbers, then let  $g(X)$  be the largest integer, such that  $\exists Y \subseteq X$ ,  $|Y| = g(X)$  and  $Y$  is power-free, i.e., it does not contain a power-triple. We want to obtain  $\alpha_{\text{pow}} := \inf_{X \subset \mathbb{R}_{>1}} \frac{g(X)}{|X|}$ . Note that the set  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$  endowed with the power operation is not a group.

The following lower bound for  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$  was obtained by using the probabilistic argument of Erdős for the set  $\{\log x \mid x \in A\}$  with an additional observation utilizing the pigeonhole principle.

**Theorem 3** (Noga Alon, private communication).

$$\alpha_{\text{pow}} \geq \frac{1}{8}.$$

An upper bound for  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$  can be obtained by the following explicit construction. Consider  $A = A_1 \cup A_2$ , where

$$A_1 = \{d^k, d^{2k}, \dots, d^{nk}\}$$

and

$$A_2 = \{a^{d^k}, a^{d^{2k}}, \dots, a^{d^{nk}}\},$$

for some integers  $a, d$  and  $k$  for which all of the  $2n$  listed numbers in  $A$  are different and greater than 1. Then, it is not difficult to show that every  $A' \subset A$  with  $n + 2$  numbers is not power-free, i.e., it contains a power-triple. The latter implies that  $\alpha_{\text{pow}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

We want to improve the given lower and upper bounds for  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$ . Finding the exact value of  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$  is equivalent to finding lower and upper bounds that are equal.

**Question 1.** What is the value of  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$ ?

It would be interesting even to know how  $\alpha_{\text{pow}}$  and  $\alpha_+$  compare.

**2.1. Possible approaches.** Improving the lower and the upper bounds would require different approaches. To improve the lower bound, one must show that for all  $A$ , we are always able to find a subset of  $A$ , which is power-free and has at least  $c|A|$  elements, for some  $c > \frac{1}{8}$ . One may try to use Bourgain's idea [2] to reinterpret Erdős' proof in the language of Fourier analysis. Previous results in additive combinatorics concerning free-sum subsets could be eventually used, as well (see the notes of Granville [5]). To improve the upper bound, we need to construct an example of a particular set  $A$ , such that each  $A' \subset A$ , with  $|A'| \geq d|A|$ , for some  $d \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , is not power-free. One idea is to try establishing the conjecture below.

**Conjecture 1.** Let  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \in 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  be a finite set of  $n$  real numbers. Then, there exists a set  $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \in 2^{\mathbb{R}_{>1}}$ , such that if  $a_{i_1} + a_{i_2} = a_{i_3}$ , for some  $1 \leq i_1, i_2, i_3 \leq n$ , then  $b_{j_1}^{b_{j_2}} = b_{j_3}$ , where  $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} = \{j_1, j_2, j_3\}$ .

If this conjecture holds, then one may take  $A$  to be the set from the proof of Theorem 2. Recall that each of its subsets of size  $(\frac{1}{3} + \epsilon)|A|$  contains a sum-triple. Then, the conjecture would give us that there exists a set  $B$  with elements in  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , such that each sum-triple in  $A$  has a corresponding power-triple in  $B$ . This would imply that  $\alpha_{\text{pow}} \leq \frac{1}{3}$ .

In addition, one may consider the set  $S = \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10\}$  attributed to Klarner [4], which gives  $\alpha_+ \leq \frac{3}{7}$ , and try to come up with a corresponding set of 7 numbers in  $\mathbb{R}_{>1}$ , having a power-triple at the place of each sum-triple in  $S$ . Such a set would give a new upper bound of  $\frac{3}{7}$  for the power-free problem. Showing that such a set does not exist will refute Conjecture 1.

According to Mark Lewko (private communication), a modification of the Bourgain's approach [2] can give that for any set  $S$  of  $n$  real numbers, there always exists a power-free subset of  $S$  with  $n/8 + cn^{1/2}/\log n$  elements, for some small fixed  $c$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alon, N. and Kleitman, D.J., 1990. Sum-free subsets. A tribute to Paul Erdos, pp.13-26.
- [2] Bourgain, J., 1997. Estimates related to sumfree subsets of sets of integers. Israel Journal of Mathematics, 97(1), pp.71-92.
- [3] Eberhard, S., Green, B. and Manners, F., 2014. Sets of integers with no large sum-free subset. Annals of Mathematics, pp.621-652.
- [4] Erdős, P., 1965. Extremal problems in number theory. In Proc. Sympos. Pure Math (pp. 181-189).
- [5] <https://dms.umontreal.ca/~andrew/PDF/AddComb.pdf>
- [6] Lewko, M., 2013. The Eberhard-Green-Manners Sum-free Subset Theorem and what is Sum-free(13)?, available at <https://lewkow.wordpress.com/2013/02/19/the-eberhard-green-manners-sum-free-subset-theorem-and-what-is-sum-free13>.